

The York and North Yorkshire Road Safety Partnership



95 Alive Steering Group Meeting – Rescheduled from 28th February 2018

3rd April 2018, 13:30 – 15:30 Business and Environmental Services Conference Room

North Yorkshire County Council, County Hall, Northallerton, DL7 8AE

Attendees:

Barrie Mason (BM) (Chair) North Yorkshire County Council
Fiona Ancell (FA) North Yorkshire County Council
Yvonne Parkes (YP) Public Health, North Yorkshire County Council
Trish Hirst (TH) City of York Council
Andrew Bradley (AB) City of York Council
Andy Tooke (AT) North Yorkshire Police
Jon Foster (JF) North Yorkshire Fire & Rescue
Will Naylor (WN) Office of Police Crime Commissioner
Dave Hannan (DH) North Yorkshire Police
Sarah Watson-Quirk (SWQ) Highways England
Clare Willerton-Trory (CWT) (Minute Taker) North Yorkshire County Council

1. Apologies:

Amanda Oliver (AO) North Yorkshire Police
Rachel Richards (RR) North Yorkshire County Council

BM welcomed everybody to the meeting and everyone introduced themselves
Minutes from the 9th January 2018 meeting were agreed as a true record.
BM confirmed that the Agenda for this meeting would be the same as the 28th February 2018 meeting that was cancelled due to inclement weather.

2. Matters Arising:

WN advised that there was an update regarding the Children’s Courts action and distributed a printed handout. He stated that progress had been made and that the focus had been on 20mph limits and parental involvement. It was agreed that the Officer Working Group should consider the handout and whether the Children’s Courts should form part of any future action plans. He confirmed that the working group were still working on a potential name for the 95 Alive Partnership but there were no formal ideas as of yet.

TH

3.	Statistics and Data Report – 2017 update:	FA & TH
	<p>FA led a discussion on Statistics within North Yorkshire and advised that data is still being finalised for the year. January to June data is confirmed but July to December data is not yet finalised. The Provisional statistics were distributed prior to the meeting.</p> <p>A discussion followed with regard to cycling activity and how the figures were generated. It was thought that the last meaningful cycle count was in 2005 and that new data was found through surveys of cycling groups and did not provide an accurate figure, only an indication of activity. It was agreed that there was an increase in cycling due to the Tour de Yorkshire and other associated cycling events in the area. It was also agreed that the decrease in powered 2 wheeler incidents was positive. The beginning of an upward trend for the over 75's was pointed out and a working group was mentioned. BM pointed out a slight amendment on the distributed statistics regarding the provisional notation.</p> <p>TH then followed with York's figures stating that there was a slight decrease in incidents. She confirmed it was difficult to draw any significant conclusions as the number of incidents is small but agreed that the numbers were heading in the right direction.</p>	
4.	Partnership Action Plan 2018/19:	FA & TH
	<p>The latest version of the budget and associated action plan was distributed prior to the meeting. It was confirmed the final number would be £50,000 and if there was any more to be found it would be held over. All agreed that they were happy with the numbers. AT to find out how and when this is to be distributed.</p> <p>JF questioned CYC's budget as being out of proportion as it was all to go on cycling. A discussion followed pointing out that the big investment was in children and how what they are taught stays with them throughout life. The positive aspect was the take up of the free sessions for each child. It was agreed that the budget was to be signed off. BM advised it would be a good idea to share information on associated road safety spending by all partners in order to develop the 19/20 action plan as it is important to get an overall view and show what extra is available from various partners as the money needs to go as far as possible.</p>	AT
5.	Amendments to 95 Alive MOU following on from signing of data sharing Service Level Agreement:	FA & TH
	<p>SLA is agreed and in the process of being signed off. The working group has been through the MOU with Veritau. Amendments were made to Pg. 9, sections 16.6 to 16.9. Most additions to this have come from Data Governance as there was not enough detail in the previous versions.</p> <p>AB questioned sharing data with outside partners and should we ask the working group to clarify? It was felt that section 11 may cover this.</p> <p>WN questioned whether a privacy statement needed to be added to the 95 Alive website. It was agreed it possibly needs checking although it has been included on forms. It was confirmed that MOU would be included on the site.</p>	FA/TH

	<p>WN also questioned why there is no mention of OPPC anywhere within MOU and confirmed that it is not part of the Police. A discussion followed about whether or not OPPC needs to be a new lead partner. All agreed that the Officer Working Group should consider these comments including how OPPC was to be incorporated.</p> <p>An amendment is required to the NYP address on Pg. 3.</p> <p>AT to double check MOU from an NYP perspective and with a little more work, Steering Group should be able to sign off at the next meeting in June.</p>	
6.	Speed Management Protocol Update:	AT
	<p>AT advised that there was revised protocol last year. Triage is done by the Traffic Bureau. 150 locations have gone through triage. 95 have gone to Community Speed Watch. The timeframe for these prior to revision was 250 days. It is currently down to 42 days. 25 have gone back to RSTG since October. There is still a challenge in this area as they have only had 1 back. There is an increase in numbers coming through which is positive. There was a discussion about RSTG and how it could be managed better. Everyone agreed that it could be a challenge to get people to the meetings at the same time but that the focus should be on local decision making. Further discussion followed with regard to the roles of the local groups, working groups and the steering group. The role of the steering group is to provide leadership and direction. AT mentioned feedback received indicated that TRO's were not there or incorrect and that it was not thought to be a priority by the local highways teams. AT to bring these incidents back to BM. All agreed that the SMP was in a better position than last year and overall it was looking positive.</p>	AT
7.	York & North Yorkshire Safer Roads and Healthier Places Road Safety Strategy 2016-20. Requested review of roles & responsibilities for each agency against the strategic objectives (4E's):	JF
	<p>JF led a discussion with regard to the 4E's. He advised that the SO's currently doing this need to be deployed elsewhere. He also advised that the leaflet looks out of date and that governance is not happening in the way it was envisaged.</p> <p>A discussion followed regarding the challenge of funding; although funding is in place currently it will be difficult in the future. It was accepted that some parts of the strategy were out of date but this was mainly due to changes in personnel such as new chair and changes to member portfolios. However it was agreed that the strategy was still sound and that the view from JF were very pertinent to future action planning and would feed into the work of the Officer Working Group especially relating to development of the 19/20 action plan. A further discussion followed regarding the local groups and the need to have the correct people at the meetings was brought up and it was agreed that FA was to follow up and ensure knowledge of meetings in advance. JF and AT agreed to support. AT and JF are to ensure that their representatives are able to attend the meetings.</p>	FA AT/JF

8.	Any Other Business:	
	<p>SWQ advised that the A64 programme is set with designated funds for 2018/19 but that they are still awaiting the budget for 2019/20. Looking at junction improvement for Cranbeck and Welburn, clear signage on entering the villages of Rillington and East Heslington and the feasibility of average speed cameras. Finance is to be worked out as scheme is developed but it's looking like a major scheme and several small improvements. SWQ to update to Highways local offices on the details. SWQ to circulate an overall update to Steering Group partners. A66 was mentioned with similar issues.</p> <p>Post meeting note – thanks go to Trish Hirst, CYC for the excellent work done by her in chairing the Officer Working Group. Dave Pitt, Fire and Rescue is taking up the role later this month.</p>	
	<p><u>Date of Next Meeting:</u> 27th June 2018 13:30 – 15:30, CYC West Offices, Green Room, YO1 6GA</p>	